

EMPLOYMENT COMMITTEE – 18TH JUNE 2015

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE OFFICER
EMPLOYMENT PROCEDURE RULES**

REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Purpose

1. This report concerns new regulations which amend the rules and procedures for the dismissal of Head of the Paid Service, the Monitoring Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

Background

2. As part of the arrangements introduced in 2001, the Head of Paid Service, the Monitoring Officer and Chief Finance Officer were given statutory protection through the requirement to appoint a Designated Independent Person (DIP) to investigate any allegation of misconduct. The intention of this provision was to ensure that these officers could discharge their statutory responsibilities without any fear of being influenced by elected members and being dismissed without good reason.
3. The DIP would be appointed early in the process when it appeared to a Council that an allegation of misconduct by the relevant officer required investigation. No disciplinary action could be taken other than in accordance with the recommendations of the report of the DIP.

New Regulations

4. The Local Authorities (Standing Order) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2015 were laid before Parliament on 25th March and came into effect on 11th May 2015. Local Authorities are required to make the necessary changes to their procedures as soon as possible after this date.
5. These new regulations remove the requirement to appoint a DIP. Instead the regulations require:-
 - a) the Council to establish a Panel to advise the Council on matters relating to the dismissal of the Head of the Paid Service, the Monitoring Officer or Chief Financial Officer;
 - b) the full Council to approve a notice to dismiss these officers.
6. The Regulations provide that the Council must invite in accordance with the following priority order to :-
 - i) an independent person who has been appointed by the Council and who is a local government elector;

- ii) any other independent person who has been appointed by the Council;
- iii) an independent person appointed by another authority.

with a view to appointing not less than two such people to the Panel.

7. The Council currently has three independent persons appointed to deal with member conduct issues. Whilst it would be possible to call upon these people to serve on any Panel, it would alter the basis of their appointment from advising on standards issues in the context of a regime with little in the way of sanctions to operating in the context of disciplinary action and employment law. It would therefore be appropriate to approach these persons to ascertain whether they would be willing to take on this additional responsibility. In the event that one or more of these persons indicate they are not willing to do so it will be necessary to start a recruitment process.

Appointment of an Independent Investigating Officer

8. The Employment Committee will need to start the disciplinary process against the officers covered by these regulations based on advice it receives. Given that the officers concerned are three of the most senior officers of the Council it would be somewhat difficult for a serving officer of the Council to be asked to investigate any alleged misconduct. Accordingly the proposed changes to the Officer Employment Procedure Rules contain a provision to enable the Employment Committee to appoint an Independent Investigating Officer to advise it on an appropriate course of action.

Additional Concerns regarding the new Regulations

9. Members should be aware that across the local government sector there are concerns relating to the implementation and operation of these regulations. The first of these relates to the requirement that a notice to dismiss must be approved by the full Council which then raises the question as to which members could then deal with any appeal against dismissal. The second relates to the dual role of the Independent Persons on the Panel. Such persons are to be drawn from persons appointed to deal with 'Standards related issues'. As such these persons will need to develop a closely working relationship with the Monitoring Officer and it is these same persons who could then be asked to advise on disciplinary matters relating to the same Monitoring Officer. The third concerns the role of the Council meeting itself. The Council would be required to act in a quasi-judicial capacity, may need to consider evidence and representations and would need to meet in private. These and other concerns have been highlighted and it is hoped that at some point guidance will emerge on these points.

Revised Officer Employment Procedure Rules

10. Attached to this report are the proposed amendments to Part 4 H Rule 11 of the Officer Employment Procedure Rules to give effect to the new regulations.

Recommendations

11. The Employment Committee is asked to give its approval to the proposed changes to Rule 11 of the Officer Employment Procedure Rules and refer the matter to the Constitution Committee for consideration.

Equalities and Human Rights Implications

There are no discernible implications arising from the recommendations in this report.

Officers to contact

Andrew James – County Solicitor

Tel: 0116 305 6009

E-mail: Andrew.james@leics.gov.uk

Gordon McFarlane – Assistant Director of Corporate Services and Transformation

Tel: 0116 305 6123

E-mail: Gordon.mcfarlane@leics.gov.uk

Mo Seedat – Head of Democratic Services

Tel: 0116 305 6037

E-mail: Mo.seedat@leics.gov.uk

List of Appendices

Appendix A – Proposed Amendments to the Officer Employment Procedure Rules